

elements in facial cosmetics

Cezara Voica*, Andreea Maria Radulescu**, Carmen Roba***

*National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Cluj-Napoca, Romania **National Research and Development Institute for Cryogenics and Isotopes Technologies, Ramnicu Valcea, Romania ***Babes Bolyai University, Faculty of Environmental Science and Engineering, Cluj-Napoca, Romania



Nowadays, the cosmetics are regarded as a means of improving the skin and beautifying the complexion is well established. They are commonly used of practically all walks of life, being commonly used by millions of consumers daily. The global market for beauty products has shown an average increase of about 5% per year.

These products are directly applied to human skin and mainly produce local exposure to certain ingredients. The analysis of cosmetics constitutes a challenge mainly due to the large variety of ingredients and formulations, leading



The European Union developed a list of more than 1000 compounds that are banned for use in cosmetics manufacturing. Elements examination chosen for are commonly considers to be toxic, although there are others that might be considers hazardous. RESULTS Fourteen cosmetic products were characterized (nine lipstick samples and four makeup samples), collected from the Romanian market, in terms of the content of heavy metals (Cr, Co, Ni, Cu)

to vast matrix complexity and variability. The concentrations of heavy and toxic

metals were determined in some cosmetics from the Romanian market.



and toxic metals (As, Pb , Hg, Cd), using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) as the technique of analysis.

Using these data, the dose of systemic SED exposure was determined, compared with the tolerable limits. SED for Cd ranged from 2,525 x10-8-2,470 x10-6 µg/kg/day (with an average of 4,571 x10-7) µg/kg/day for lipsticks and 0.0001-0.0003 µg/kg/day (with a average of 0.0002 µg/kg/day) for make-up.

It should be mentioned that one of the blush samples showed an increased value for SED (156,668), but within the range of 50-500 for PTDI. Otherwise, all SED values were well below tolerable limits, so the cosmetics studied did not pose a health hazard.

CONCLUSIONS

able 1. SED results for cosmetic products (ua/ka bw / dav)								
	Cr	Со	Ni	As	Cd	Hg	Pb	Cu
). 1	9.853x10 ⁻⁶	1.148 x10 ⁻⁵	1.933 x10 ⁻⁵	1.050 x10 ⁻⁶	1.304 x10 ⁻⁷	4.882 x10 ⁻⁷	9.786 x10 ⁻⁶	6.722 x10 ⁻⁶
b. 2	1.211 x10 ⁻⁵	7.782 x10 ⁻⁶	1.379 x10⁻⁵	1.250 x10 ⁻⁶	3.199 x10 ⁻⁷	7.744 x10 ⁻⁷	1.875 x10 ⁻⁵	1.642 x10 ⁻⁵
b. 3	1.720 x10⁻⁵	1.433 x10 ⁻⁵	2.071 x10 ⁻⁵	8.797 x10 ⁻⁷	3.156 x10 ⁻⁷	2.946 x10 ⁻⁸	5.370 x10 ⁻⁶	7.463 x10 ⁻⁵
b. 4	1.029 x10⁻⁵	6.145 x10 ⁻⁷	3.859 x10⁻ ⁶	3.283 x10 ⁻⁷	6.313 x10 ⁻⁸	1.262 x10 ⁻⁸	1.940 x10 ⁻⁶	2.550 x10 ⁻⁵
5 . 5	1.728x10 ⁻⁵	1.300 x10 ⁻⁶	8.881 x10 ⁻⁶	7.029 x10 ⁻⁷	2.315 x10 ⁻⁷	3.872 x10 ⁻⁷	8.334 x10 ⁻⁶	2.719 x10 ⁻⁵
b. 6	4.812 x10 ⁻⁵	8.30 x10 ⁻⁶	3.872 x10 ⁻⁵	7.745 x10 ⁻⁷	1.894 x10 ⁻⁷	2.062 x10 ⁻⁷	8.027 x10 ⁻⁶	7.450 x10 ⁻⁶
b. 7	1.049 x10 ⁻⁵	6.987 x10 ⁻⁶	1.552 x10⁻⁵	1.245 x10 ⁻⁶	6.229 x10 ⁻⁷	8.418 x10 ⁻⁷	5.977 x10 ⁻⁶	0.016
b. 8	1.129x10 ⁻⁵	6.650 x10 ⁻⁷	1.064 x10 ⁻⁵	5.261 x10 ⁻⁷	2.020 x10 ⁻⁷	3.914 x10 ⁻⁷	5.846 x10 ⁻⁶	4.661 x10 ⁻⁵
b. 9	1.897 x10⁻⁵	1.889 x10 ⁻⁶	2.815 x10 ⁻⁶	1.767 x10 ⁻⁷	2.525 x10 ⁻⁸	7.155 x10 ⁻⁸	3.085 x10 ⁻⁶	8.123 x10 ⁻⁶
b.10	0.0006	7.934 x10 ⁻⁶	3.327 x10 ⁻⁵	1.956 x10⁻⁵	2.470 x10 ⁻⁶	1.600 x10 ⁻⁵	0.011	0.0001
Min.	9.853 x10 ⁻⁶	6.145 x10 ⁻⁷	2.815 x10 ⁻⁶	1.767 x10 ⁻⁷	2.525 x10 ⁻⁸	1.262 x10 ⁻⁸	1.940 x10 ⁻⁶	6.722 x10 ⁻⁶
Max.	0.0006	1.433 x10 ⁻⁵	3.872 x10 ⁻⁵	1.956 x10⁻⁵	2.470 x10 ⁻⁶	1.600 x10 ⁻⁵	0.011	0.016
Media	7.830 x10 ⁻⁵	6.129 x10 ⁻⁶	1.675 x10⁻⁵	2.649 x10 ⁻⁶	4.571 x10 ⁻⁷	1.921 x10 ⁻⁶	0.0011	0.0016
	3.058	0.026	0.035	0.0013	0.0003	0.0009	0.017	0.028
2	0.013	0.002	0.003	0.0013	0.0002	0.0011	0.025	0.047
3	0.024	0.001	0.004	0.0007	0.0002	0	0.090	0.104
l i	0.024	0.015	0.067	0.0030	0.0001	0.0006	0.0095	156.668
Min.	0.013	0.0018	0.003	0.0007	0.0001	0	0.0095	0.028
Max.	3.058	0.026	0.067	0.0030	0.0003	0.0011	0.090	156.668
media	0.780	0.011	0.027	0.0016	0.0002	0.0004	0.035	39.212

Cosmetics are one of the most important sources of releasing heavy metals in the environment. The possibility of skin allergy / contact dermatitis may increase due to the presence of heavy metals in cosmetics. Since the heavy metal's toxicity has been exemplified the problem of environment pollution, it is necessary to know about the all-possible sources. In this context, we have tested the different cosmetic products for the presence of metals, in special toxic metals.

- The concentrations of toxic metals were measured in some commonly used cosmetic products from Romania market with a view to providing information on the risk of exposure to metals from the use of these products. Interpreting how reported metal concentrations in cosmetics may be related to potential health risk can be challenging and it is usually not very easy to determine the contribution of cosmetics to the body burden of metals. Cosmetics safety should be assessed not only by the presence of hazardous contents but also by comparing estimated exposures with health-based standards.
- The risk characterization was performed by calculating the systemic exposure dosage (SED). The results showed that the heavy metals exposure through the usage of studied cosmetic products is below their respective provisional tolerable daily intake (PTDI) or recommended daily intake (RDI) values. The heavy metals levels in the investigated cosmetics present no potential risk to the users' health.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: The financial support for this work was provided by the 19-35-02-02 Project